

Publication Date: 28/11/2024

Methodology: M001

Version: V1.1 Contact: Ecosystem Restoration Standard 25 Rue de Frémicourt 75015 Paris, FRANCE info@ers.org

TEMPLATE

Pre-submission Activities Report

SUMMARY

This document outlines all Restoration Activities carried out by the Project before applying to be certified under ERS' Methodology for Terrestrial Forest Restoration. It highlights the general requirements every Project must comply with, as laid out in the M001 - Methodology for Terrestrial Forest Restoration. It provides a frame for Developers to put forward the restoration work already realised and to highlight the learnings influencing the Project's <u>Restoration Plan</u>.

This document is used by ERS as an information source when making a decision about the certification of a Project.

Table of *Contents*

Table of Contents	1
GENERAL INFORMATION	2
1. Proof of Carbon Credits consideration	2
2. Map of Pre-submission Activities zones	3
3. Objectives	3
4. Summary of interventions	3
5. Permanence Safeguards	3
6. Summary of results	4
7. SDG Contribution	4
8. Implementation Partners of Pre-submission activities	4
DETAILED ACTIVITIES	5
1. Detailed interventions realised as Pre-submission Activities	5
2. Planted Species	7
LIVELIHOODS	8
1. Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) Safeguards	8
2. Stakeholder Engagement	8
3. Social Safeguards	8
4. Communication channels	9
5. NTFPs	9
6. Community Impacts	11
7. Summary of Leakage Declaration	11
Appendix I - worker's contracts	12

Activities Declaration

GENERAL INFORMATION

General Information	
Date	Start mm/YYYY End mm/YYYY List the date of the first Pre-submission activity and of the last
	one.
Zone(s) concerned	List all the zones encompassing these activities as reported in the Project Shapefile.
Source(s) of funding	Describe the source(s) of funding allowing the Pre-submission activities to take place.
Professional Responsible	Ecologist or related professional responsible and accountable for planning of the activities. Must include name, profession

1. Proof of Carbon Credits consideration

Submit evidence justifying that carbon credits have been considered as an alternative to funding the Project before the beginning of pre-submission activities. Acceptable proof includes, but is not limited to: e-mail exchanges, Stakeholder consultations, consultancy reports, contracts and letters of authorisation.

2. Map of Pre-submission Activities zones

Please insert one or multiple maps portraying the different zones concerned by the Pre-submission activities, as it is reported in the Project Shapefile and Project Zonation.

This can be a single map in which the different zones are clearly delimited and differentiated or a single map per zone.

3. Objectives

Please describe the overall objective(s) of the Pre-submission Activities.

4. Summary of interventions

Describe the interventions in general lines, including the main steps chronologically. Include information about the magnitude of the intervention (e.g. area size, number of managed tree individuals and species).

5. Permanence Safeguards

During pre-submission activities, the Project took the following measures:

- □ Installed fire brakes;
- Purchased or made available firefighting equipment;
- Trained the Project's team on firefighting techniques;
- \Box None of the above.

Describe and provide evidence (e.g., pictures, contracts, purchase receipts) of the safeguards implemented during the Pre-submission activities. If alternative measures have been put in place, you may also describe them here and provide corresponding evidence to support their implementation.

6. Summary of results

Describe the results achieved in general lines. Also describe the main challenges and learnings leading to the results mentioned.

7. SDG Contribution

SDG	Project's contribution	UN SDG 2030 Target (<u>reference</u>)	SDG Indicator	Evolution
-----	---------------------------	---	------------------	-----------

EXAMPLE SDG 1: No Poverty	The project employed five workers to perform the pre-submission activities.	1.2: By 2030, reduce by at least half the proportion of men, women, and children of all ages living in poverty in all its dimensions.	1. # Of people employed 2. Salary compared with the country's living wage	1. 5 workers employed 2. Salary 10% above the national living wage
-------------------------------------	--	---	--	---

8. Implementation Partners of Pre-submission activities

Name of partner	Representative	Activities in which they were involved	Date

DETAILED ACTIVITIES

1. Detailed interventions realised as Pre-submission Activities

The tables below must detail the intervention already carried-out that are part of the Pre-submission activities. Each table must represent one intervention.

If an intervention has social implications such as changing land uses and creating alternative livelihoods or jobs, it must be reported in the Social Impact Section of this document.

Intervention 1: Name of the intervention

Zone(s)	List the zone(s) concerned by the intervention. Make sure that this information matches with the results from the Zonation process.
Date	Start mm/YYYY End mm/YYYY
Activities	Describe the actions involved. Include information about the magnitude of the intervention (e.g. area size, number of managed tree individuals and species).
Rationale	Describe the reasons for having selected this intervention.
Barriers	Describe barriers or problems faced during or as a result of this intervention.
Indicators (if applicable)	If you have collected, describe one or multiple indicators used to measure the intervention's outcomes.
Monitoring methodology (if applicable)	Describe the methodology used to measure outcomes.
Outcomes	Describe the outcomes achieved so far.
Learnings	Describe the learnings resulting of this intervention.

Intervention 2: Name of the intervention		
Zone(s)	List the zone(s) concerned by the intervention. Make sure that this information matches with the results from the Zonation process.	
Date	Start mm/YYYY End mm/YYYY	
Activities	Describe the actions involved. Include information about the magnitude of the intervention (e.g. area size, number of managed tree individuals and species).	
Rationale	Describe the reasons for having selected this intervention.	
Barriers	Describe barriers or problems faced during or as a result of this intervention.	

Indicators (if applicable)	If you have collected, describe one or multiple indicators used to measure the intervention's outcomes.
Monitoring methodology (if applicable)	Describe the methodology used to measure outcomes.
Outcomes	Describe the outcomes achieved so far.
Learnings	Describe the learnings resulting of this intervention.

Intervention 3: Nam	e of the intervention
Zone(s)	List the zone(s) concerned by the intervention. Make sure that this information matches with the results from the Zonation process.
Date	Start mm/YYYY End mm/YYYY
Activities	Describe the actions involved. Include information about the magnitude of the intervention (e.g. area size, number of managed tree individuals and species).
Rationale	Describe the reasons for having selected this intervention.
Barriers	Describe barriers or problems faced during or as a result of this intervention.
Indicators (if applicable)	If you have collected, describe one or multiple indicators used to measure the intervention's outcomes.
Monitoring methodology (if applicable)	Describe the methodology used to measure outcomes.
Outcomes	Describe the outcomes achieved so far.
Learnings	Describe the learnings resulting of this intervention.

2. Planted Species

F

Solution Use the table below to report the species planted. If the same species was planted in two, or more, different cycles, they should be reported in two different lines.

Species scientific name	Common name	# planted	Rationale for using this species	Mortality Rate	Date of planting	Observations

LIVELIHOODS

Vese the fields below to report interactions with stakeholders and Livelihoods interventions during the Pre-submission activities (if applicable).

If restoration interventions led to livelihood's impact, such as displacement of cropping areas, describe it.

If a type of benefit-sharing is already in place, describe it.

1. Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) Safeguards

- The Pre-submission **did not** require FPIC as it **did not have IPLCs** among its stakeholders
- □ The Pre-submission required FPIC as it had IPLCs among its Stakeholders.

Description of actions demonstrating how the FPIC process was respected when carrying out Pre-submission activities (if applicable).

2. Stakeholder Engagement

Details of any engagement with the Project's stakeholders carried out during the Pre-submission activities. Please provide the date, location, goals, attendees, and minutes of the consultation.

3. Social Safeguards

During pre-submission activities, the Project took the following measures:

Did not generate forced physical or economic displacement;

F

- Preserved IPLCs livelihoods;
- Provided fair employment, appropriate working conditions;
- □ None of the above.

Describe and provide evidence (pictures, contracts, purchase receipts) of the safeguards put in place during the Pre-submission activities. If alternative measures have been put in place, you may also describe them here and provide corresponding evidence to support their implementation.

4. Communication channels

Detail how the Project established an open communication channel with its Stakeholders during the Pre-submission activities. If a grievance mechanism was put in place, please provide details.

Provide pictures to corroborate your answers, if available.

5. NTFPs

- □ The Pre-submission activities encompass NTFPs.
- □ The Pre-submission activities **did not** encompass NTFPs.

Product:	
Beneficiary Communities	List the communities benefiting from the product.

Product and activity description	Describe the product and its production. Include details of location, social groups involved, and historical or cultural relevance.
Species	List all species used in the product's production.
Rationale	Explain why this product is relevant to its beneficiaries.
Harvesting Protocol	Describe the protocol in place, including the collection sites in the restoration area, and the safeguards in place to ensure that the regeneration rate of the NTFPs used surpasses its extraction rate.
Indicators of Harvest Protocol health	List the indicators used to determine the Harvest Protocol's health.
Monitoring methodology of harvest protocol health	Describe the methodology applied to determine the health.
Timeline	Determine implementation and lifecycle timeline.
Risks	Describe all material and potential risks.
Required investment	If applicable, describe the necessary investment to establish the production.
Realised	What was the revenue generated and its distribution breakdown.



6. Community Impacts

Details of any impacts (positive or negative) resulting from Pre-submission activities.

7. Summary of Leakage Declaration

Summary of the stopped, shifted or displaced activities reported in the Leakage Mitigation Declaration.

F

Appendix I - worker's contracts



Ecosystem Restoration Standard

info@ers.org | www.ers.org